

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|--|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/670,331 | 09/26/2003 | Mitsuru Kaneda | 242984US2CIP | 5107 |
| 22850 | 7590 06/17/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. | | | KESHAVAN, BELUR V | |
| | 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 | | | PAPER NUMBER |
| | • | | 2825 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 06/17/200- | 4 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Amplication No. | Applicant(a) | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
| Office Action Summany | 10/670,331 | KANEDA ET AL. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Belur V Keshavan | 2825 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover she it with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONED | ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Se | eptember 2003. | | | | |
| · _ · · · | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 12,13,25 and 26 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8,11 and 14-22 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 9,10,23 and 24 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 26 September 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the option of of the | re: a) \square accepted or b) \square object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj | 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>26 September 2003</u> . 6) Other: | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/670,331

Art Unit: 2825

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 1-8, 11 and 14-22 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-8, 9 and 10-18 respectively of copending Application No. 10/366,520. This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 9,10, 23 and 24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 12, 13, 25 and 26 are allowed.

The primary reason for the indication of the allowability of claims 9, 10, 12, 13, 23 and 24 is the inclusion therein, in combination as currently claimed, of the limitation of a semiconductor device on a substrate with diffusion layer comprising inter alia limitation wherein a first and a second local lifetime regions are formed by implanting proton (claims 9, 12 and 23)

into substantially a middle region and helium (claims 10, 13 and 24) into a deep region of the device substrate respectively and wherein the second life time region is nearer to the diffusion layer than the first local lifetime region.

The primary reason for the indication of the allowability of claims 25 and 26 is the inclusion therein, in combination as currently claimed, of the limitation of a method of manufacturing a semiconductor device on a device substrate having an impurity diffusion layer comprising inter alia limitation wherein a first and a second local lifetime regions are formed by implanting proton (claim 25) substantially into a middle region and helium (26) into a deep region of the device substrate respectively such that second lifetime region is nearer to the diffusion layer than the first local lifetime region.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Belur V Keshavan whose telephone number is 571-272-1894.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8-4:30 Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew S Smith can be reached on 571-272-1907. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/670,331

Art Unit: 2825

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Bvk. June 7, 2004.

Belur V. Keshavan. Examiner. Art Unit 2825. Page 4

MATTHEW SMITH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800